Name of contributor or name of contributing organization:

Met Office (Blockley et al.)

Is this contribution from a person or group not affiliated with a research organization?

Name and organization for all contributors. Indicate primary contact and total number of people who may have contributed to your Outlook, even if not included on the author list.

Ed Blockley, Met Office. Plus members of the Met Office seasonal forecasting team: Ruth Comer, Jamie Kettleborough, Adam Scaife, Peter McLean
This is an EU-APPLICATE project contribution.

Do you want your June contribution to automatically be included in subsequent reports? (If yes, you may still update your contribution via the submission form.)

Include this submission in the July report only.

What is the type of your Outlook projection?

Dynamic Model

Starting in 2017 we are accepting both pan-Arctic and pan-Antarctic sea ice extent (either one or both) of the September monthly mean. As in 2016, we are also collecting Alaskan regional sea ice extent. To be consistent with the validating sea ice extent index from NSIDC, if possible, please first compute the average sea ice concentration for the month and then compute the extent as the sum of cell areas > 15%.

a) Pan-Arctic September extent prediction in million square kilometers.

4.7

b) same as in (a) but for pan-Antarctic. If your method differs substantially from that for the Arctic, please enter it as a separate submission.

18.3

c) same as in (b) but for the Alaskan region. Please also tell us maximum possible extent if every ocean cell in your region were ice covered.

"Executive summary" of your Outlook contribution (using 300 words or less) describe how and why your contribution was formulated. To the extent possible, use non-technical language.

A dynamic model forecast made using the Met Office's seasonal forecasting system (GloSea). GloSea is a fully coupled Atmosphere-Ocean-sea Ice-Land (AOIL) model that produces a small 2-member ensemble of 210-day forecasts each day. Forecasts initialised over a 21-day period, centred on the 1st of each month, are used together to create a 42-member lagged ensemble or forecasts of September sea ice cover.

Brief explanation of Outlook method (using 300 words or less).

Ensemble coupled model seasonal forecast from the GloSea5 seasonal prediction system [MacLachlan et al., 2015], using the Global Coupled 2 (GC2) version [Williams et al., 2015] of the HadGEM3 coupled model [Hewitt et al., 2011]. Forecast compiled together from forecasts initialized between 21st June and 11th July (2 per day) from an ocean and sea ice analysis (FOAM/NEMOVAR) [Blockley et al., 2014, Peterson et al., 2015] and an atmospheric analysis (MO-NWP/4DVar) [Rawlins et al., 2007] using observations from the previous day. Special Sensor Microwave Imager Sensor (SSMIS) ice concentration observations from EUMETSAF OSI-SAF [OSI-SAF] were assimilated in the ocean and sea ice analysis, along with satellite and in-situ SST, sub surface temperature and salinity profiles, and sea level anomalies from altimeter data. No assimilation of ice thickness was performed.

Tell us the dataset used for your initial Sea Ice Concentration (SIC).

Sea ice concentration (as all variables) is initialised using the operational FOAM ocean-sea ice analysis. SSMIS sea ice concentration is assimilated using the EUMETSAT OSI-SAF (OSI-401b; See http://osisaf.met.no/docs/osisaf_cdop3_ss2_pum_ice-conc_v1p6.pdf)

Tell us the dataset used for your initial Sea Ice Thickness (SIT) used. Include name and date.

Sea ice thickness (as all variables) is initialised using the operational FOAM ocean-sea ice analysis. Sea ice thickness is not assimilated in FOAM.

If you use a dynamic model, please specify the name of the model as a whole and each component including version numbers and how the component is initialized:

[DynamicModelType]

If available from your method.
a) Uncertainty/probability estimates:

Median

Ranges

Arctic: +/- 0.7 million sq km; Antarctic: +/- 0.7 million sq km

Standard Deviations

Arctic: +/- 0.35 million sq km; Antarctic: +/- 0.35 million sq km

b) Brief explanation/assessment of basis for the uncertainty estimate (1-2 sentences).

Uncertainty range is provided as +/- 2 two standard deviations of the (42 member) ensemble spread around the ensemble mean.

c) Brief description of any post processing you have done (1-2 sentences).

Bias correction calculated from hindcast evaluation over 1993-2016. Arctic: +0.9 million sq km; Antarctic: -0.4 million sq km